MINI-REVIEW

Overcoming substrate inhibition during biological treatment of monoaromatics: recent advances in bioprocess design

**Andrew J. Daugulis · M. Concetta Tomei ·
Benoit Guieysse**

Abstract The biological removal of monoaromatic compounds from contaminated environments, usually arising from industrial activity, is challenging because of the inherent toxicity of these compounds to microorganisms, particularly at the concentrations that can be encountered in industrial waste streams. A wide range of bioprocess designs have been proposed and tested with the aim of achieving high removal efficiencies, with varying degrees of technical success, and potential for practical implementation. This review reports on the progress on variations of well-known themes made in the last 3–4 years, as well as new bioprocess technologies that address the cytotoxicity of monoaromatics directly. Areas for further research are also proposed.

Keywords Monoaromatics · Bioprocess designs · Cytotoxicity

Introduction

Reducing or eliminating inhibition of microbial activity is a critical consideration when designing bioprocesses for the treatment of xenobiotic compounds such as monoaromatics. Substrate inhibition can result in reduced reaction rates, and even complete cessation of microbial activity, as well as amplified toxicity arising from substrate interaction effects in the degradation of xenobiotic mixtures. The inhibition characteristics of environmental pollutants can be expressed in terms of effective concentrations from toxicity analysis (e.g., EC_{50}) and inhibition constants derived from dedicated kinetic models such as, for instance, the Haldane equation, which is one of the most commonly used expressions for characterizing substrate inhibition:

$$r_S = k \frac{S}{S + K_s + \frac{S^2}{K_I}}$$

where:

k Kinetic parameter $[ML^{-3}T^{-1}]$
S Substrate concentration $[ML^{-3}]$
K_s Saturation constant $[ML^{-3}]$
K_I Inhibition constant $[ML^{-3}]$

The partition coefficient of chemicals in an octanol-water biphasic mixture (K_{ow}) is also often used to predict the biosorption and acute toxicity potential of chemicals because it is a good indicator of a molecule's solubility in cell membranes. For this reason, the acute toxicity of structurally related chemicals (e.g., chlorophenols) is often positively correlated to their K_{ow} coefficients. Relevant toxicity properties and K_{ow} values for individual BTEX compounds and typical representatives of substituted phenols are presented in Table 1a and b. In practice, wastewaters from olive mills and kraft pulp mills can contain up to 190–350 mg/L chlorophenols 12 g polyphenols/L, and 4.3 g/L phenol (Smets and Barkay 2005; Khoufi et al. 2006), which are far above the toxicity threshold of these contaminants, as shown in Table 1b.

A. J. Daugulis (✉)
Department of Chemical Engineering, Queen's University,
Kingston, Canada K7L 3N6
e-mail: andrew.daugulis@chee.queensu.ca

M. C. Tomei
Water Research Institute, C.N.R,
Via Salaria km 29,300 C.P. 10, 00015 Monterotondo Stazione,
Rome, Italy

B. Guieysse
School of Engineering & Advanced Technology,
Massey University,
Palmerston North, New Zealand

Table 1 Toxicity data for BTEX and typical representatives of substituted phenols

Compound	Water solubility (mg/L)	$LogK_{ow}$	EC_{50} Test	EC_{50} (mg/L)	Reference	K_1 Biomass	K_1 (mg/L)	Reference
(a) BTEX								
Benzene	1785.5	2.13	(1)	75.00	Boyd et al. 1997	*Pseudomonas fluorescens*	80.0	Kim et al. 2005
						Pseudomonas putida	100.0	Kim et al. 2005
						Pseudomonas aeruginosa	130.0	Kim et al. 2005
						Mixed culture	142.1–211.8	Maliyekkal et al. 2004
Toluene	532.6	2.73	(2)	91.20	Parvez et al. 2008	Mixed culture	209.4–269.5	Maliyekkal et al. 2004
Ethylbenzene	161.5	3.15	(2)	6.02	Parvez et al. 2008	*Pseudomonas* sp.	161.2	Veiga et al. 1999
						Bacillus like sp.	117.5	Veiga et al. 1999
o-Xylene	161.5	3.20	(2)	14.12	Parvez et al. 2008	Mixed culture	134.3–175.6	Maliyekkal et al. 2004
			(2)	8.83	Zhao et al. 1995			
m-Xylene	171.5	3.12	(2)	19.31	Zhao et al. 1995			
p-Xylene	181.5	3.15	(2)	17.22	Zhao et al. 1995			
(b) Phenols and substituted phenols								
Phenol	83×10^3	1.46	(3)	280.00	Walker 1989	*Candida tropicalis*	185.0	Adav et al. 2007
			(2)	41.68	Parvez et al. 2008	*P. putida*	172.0–221.0	Onysko et al. 2000
			(1)	19.00	Walker 1989	Activated sludge	72.4	Marrot et al. 2008
						Alcaligenes	152.0–550.0	Essam et al. 2010
4-Nitrophenol	160×10^3	1.91	(1)	13.70	Somasundaram et al. 1990	Mixed culture	34.2	Tomei and Annesini 2008
			(3)	59.00	Walker 1989			
3,4 Dimethylphenol	7.2×10^3	2.77	(4)	57.00	Walker 1989	Mixed culture	549.8	Acuña-Argüelles et al. 2003
			(1)	0.39	Ribo and Kaiser 1983			
2- Chlorophenol	20×10^3	2.15	(1)	33.81	Ribo and Kaiser 1983	Mixed culture	1.1–1.7	Gaudy et al. 1988
			(4)	141.00–163.00	Erol Nalbur and Alkan 2007			
4-Chlorophenol	27×10^3	2.39	(1)	8.30	Ribo and Kaiser 1983	Mixed culture	79.7	Sahinkaya and Dilek 2007
						Candida tropicalis	4.3	Jiang et al. 2007
2,4-Dichlorophenol	4.5×10^3	3.06	(1)	5.04	Ribo and Kaiser 1983	Mixed culture	44.5	Sahinkaya and Dilek 2007
			(4)	40.00–91.00	Erol Nalbur and Alkan 2007			
2,4,6-Trichlorophenol	0.8×10^3	3.70	(1)	7.70	Ribo and Kaiser 1983	Mixed culture	610.0	Kharoune et al. 2002
o-Cresol	26.8×10^3	1.99	(1)	27	Santos et al. 2006	Mixed culture-aerobic granules	824.0	Lee et al. 2011
						Arthrobacter	800.0	Kar et al. 1997
m-Cresol	19.6×10^3	1.98	(1)	11	Chang et al. 1981	Mixed culture-aerobic granules	952.0	Lee et al. 2011
p-Cresol	22.0×10^3	1.96	(1)	1.00	Chang et al. 1981	Mixed culture-aerobic granules	617.0	Lee et al. 2011
						Arthrobacter	1050.0	Kar et al. 1997

(1) Microtox, (2) *V. fischeri*, (3) Activated sludge respiration inhibition, (4) OECD

With regard to the inhibitory effect of substrates in mixtures, limited information is available in the scientific literature because the majority of kinetic studies have been performed using single target compounds. A variety of interactive effects are possible with mixed substrates, however, as recently demonstrated by Littlejohns and Daugulis (2008) who identified and quantified the substrate interaction mechanisms of inhibition, enhancement, and cometabolism during the biodegradation of BTEX mixtures by a bacterial consortium.

Every bioremediation process will benefit from the presence of microorganisms with the capability to tolerate and rapidly mineralize the target xenobiotic molecules, and a number of past efforts have studied: microbial tolerance to solvents and monoaromatic compounds (Inoue and Horikoshi 1989; Isken and de Bont 1998; Heipieper et al. 2007), mechanisms for such tolerance (Ramos et al. 2002; Sikkema et al. 1994; Sikkema et al. 1995; Weber and de Bont 1996; Neumann et al. 2005) microbial growth within organic phases (MacLeod and Daugulis 2005) and horizontal gene transfer to provide microbial adaptation to xenobiotics (Smets and Barkay 2005; Top and Springael 2003; Springael and Top 2004). Although improving the microbial properties (e.g., toxicity resistance through gene transfer, selection and/or adaptation) has tremendous potential, the application of "super-bugs" remains limited by stability and versatility issues. For example, long times may be required for stable phenotypes to emerge, and the complexity and variability (in number of components and their concentrations) of the waste streams to be treated can complicate an adaptation process that must respond rapidly to generate an effective and dynamic microbial community. Certainly microbial adaptation plays an important role in the biodegradation of xenobiotic compounds, however, appropriate technological solutions are essential to obtain process performance suitable for real applications; in other words acceptable performance can arise from a combination of the two elements of adaptation and efficient technological solutions. This review focuses on the technology platforms needed to support microbial activity regardless of the intrinsic properties of the microorganisms.

Ex situ bioremediation technologies for the removal of monoaromatics such as BTEX and substituted phenols have been extensively investigated in recent years (Farhadian et al. 2008) and these processes have generally yielded satisfactory removal capability at the laboratory scale. Nevertheless, the widespread application of bioremediation technologies is strongly hampered by the serious inhibitory effects exerted by high substrate concentrations. A particularly challenging application is the treatment of industrial wastewaters, which can be characterized by concentration levels significantly higher (orders of magnitude) than those considered to be inhibitory, as illustrated above. In those cases, modified treatment configurations designed to minimize the impact of toxicity on microbial activity and maximize the volumetric removal rate have been investigated. A variety of approaches have been suggested for this purpose. In the present review, monoaromatic treatment technologies have been grouped into three types: conventional methods, two-phase systems, and integrated chemical–biological treatment, as summarized in Table 2. Reported data refer to research papers published in the last 3–4 years.

In the case of conventional methods, biofilm reactors have been distinguished from immobilized cells reactors by considering that in the former case the biocatalyst grows *onto* a support (attachment mechanism) where it is not completely immobilized (the thickness of the biofilm layer depends on the hydrodynamics of the system) while in the latter case the biomass is confined (i.e., completely immobilized) *into* a support via an entrapment mechanism. A common strategy for dealing with substrate toxicity is to increase the biomass concentration (by, for example, using biofilm, immobilized cell and granular sludge reactors) with the concomitant beneficial effect of increasing the process kinetics and reducing the substrate/biomass ratio. In the case of sequencing batch reactors (SBRs) substrate toxicity is reduced by taking advantage of the "induction" effect of developing alternative metabolic pathways arising from the dynamic operating conditions characteristic of SBR systems. Two-phase systems, now almost universally called "Two Phase Partitioning Bioreactors" (TPPBs), deal directly with substrate inhibition by reducing aqueous phase concentrations via sequestration into a second, immiscible phase, and re-release of the substrate based on metabolic demand and the maintenance of thermodynamic equilibrium. Process alternatives for TPPBs include liquid–liquid configurations, encapsulated liquid systems, and the use of solid sequestering phases, such as polymers. Combined treatment processes utilize synergistic physical/chemical methods to initially modify (detoxify) the monoaromatic substrates, followed by biological treatment, with the aim of enhancing overall performance efficiency.

Conventional methods

General strategies for the biological removal of inhibitory substrates

This review focuses on recent findings; well-known biotechnologies (e.g., activated sludge process) commonly used for the treatment of certain toxic effluents will not be considered. However, before presenting the specific features of selected technologies, it is first necessary to briefly summarize the general strategies for the biological treatment of toxic effluents.

Table 2 Methods and reactor configurations to reduce the impact of toxic substrates

Method	Reactor configuration	Strategy
Conventional	Biofilm	Increase of biomass concentration
	Fixed bed	*High biomass concentration*
	Expanded bed, Fluidized bed, Pulsed bed	*High biomass concentration, high contact surface*
	Adsorptive support (GAC)	*Sorption as additional removal mechanism*
	Immobilized cell	Confinement, protection and no losses of the biomass
	Membrane, fixed bed	*High biomass concentration*
	Fluidized bed	*High biomass concentration, high contact surface*
	Adsorptive immobilizing agent (added of GAC, GAC)	*Sorption as additional removal mechanism*
	Sequencing batch reactors	Dynamic operating conditions, flexibility in operation
	Granular sludge	High biomass "density"
Two-phase systems	Two-phase partitioning bioreactors	Partitioning of the substrate into sequestering phase, which releases substrate to cells based on their metabolic demand
	Liquid–liquid	*Organic solvents as partitioning phase*
	Encapsulated	*Organic solvents in polymer matrices*
	Solid–liquid	*Polymers as partitioning phase*
Integrated chemical/biological treatment	Different reactor configurations depending on the process sequence	Combination of methods: physical/chemical followed by biological treatment

Microbial kinetic theories suggest that, when effective microorganisms are available, well-mixed biological processes can be operated continuously (or semi-continuously in the case of SBRs) at a dilution rate low enough to keep the concentrations of inhibitory pollutants in the reactor below their inhibition thresholds (or at least below an acceptable limit). A low dilution rate can however be costly, requiring a large reactor volume, or can be difficult to achieve in practice because the low substrate concentration provided to the microorganisms reduces microbial activity and favors the generation of a form of biomass (e.g., filamentous bacteria) that can be difficult to remove and recycle using conventional clarification. Membranes, biofilms, or immobilized biomass can be used to keep a high biomass concentration in the reactor and circumvent this problem. Efficient biomass retention thus allows high volumetric removal rates (i.e., small reactor volumes), even under partially inhibitory substrate conditions in which the high number of microorganisms compensates for low individual activity.

A second issue associated with "conventional" biological treatment is the management of toxic shocks (sudden toxicity pulses) generated by inadvertent changes in wastewater flow or composition. The effects of shocks can be partially and momentarily mitigated using a combination of (1) a buffering or surge tank or controlled substrate delivery (SBR); (2) high mixing to ensure quick substrate dispersion into the reactor volume; (3) diffusion-limited protection using microbial biofilms or granules and/

or dedicated carriers, with the efficiency of these strategies depending on the shock intensity and its duration. Because substrate dilution and/or dispersion are needed for the biological treatment of inhibitory effluents, reactors configurations allowing well-mixed conditions should always be preferred regardless the type of biomass retention used (biofilm, attached, recycled). Packed-bed bioreactors, which are traditionally operated as plug-flow, are therefore generally not recommended unless the liquid broth is circulated to provide mixing.

Biofilm reactors

Biofilm reactors are extensively used in the treatment of industrial wastewater, leachate, and groundwater, and can be operated under aerobic, anoxic, and anaerobic conditions. The growth of biomass onto support materials facilitates high biomass concentrations advantageous for the degradation of poorly biodegradable and/or inhibitory contaminants. Packing media can be inert (plastic, stone, sand, wood, ceramics, etc.) and adsorptive such as the ubiquitous granular activated carbon (GAC). An adsorptive matrix can reduce bulk concentrations of substrates and potentially protect microorganisms by reducing microbial inhibition caused by toxic contaminants, thereby increasing the removal efficiency and also improving the system response to variations in influent contaminant concentration. The use of adsorptive media can however be limited

by the associated costs and by the deterioration of the adsorption capacity caused by the progressive accumulation of the contaminants onto the solid matrix.

Biofilm reactors have been configured as fixed-bed (e.g., biofilters for the treatment of gaseous streams), expanded-bed, or fluidized-bed contactors. The latter two configurations are usually preferred for the treatment of toxic aqueous streams because, as discussed above, they provide well-mixed conditions and higher mass transfer rates that are required at high substrate loadings.

Fixed bed

Recent applications of fixed-bed reactors for the treatment of monoaromatics in water have been limited to the removal of phenol (Tziotzios et al. 2007, Bajaj et al. 2009), a compound significantly less toxic than substituted phenols and BTEX (see Tables 1a and b). The operating mode and the specific surface area of the support material can significantly affect reactor performance. For instance, Tziotzios et al. (2007) reported shorter reaction times in a pilot-scale aerobic reactor packed with gravel support media instead of plastic tubes, and a marked positive effect of recirculation on process kinetics. High phenol removal efficiencies (up to 94%) were also achieved in anaerobic fixed bed reactors but these systems were sensitive to influent loads and required 1 month without feed to recover (Bajaj et al. 2009).

Biofilm bioreactors using GAC as the support material were also applied in batch and column studies for the removal of phenol, chlorophenol, and o-cresol and modelled assuming fixed bed operation (Quintelas et al. 2010). These authors observed removal efficiencies that were strongly affected by the initial concentrations of the inhibitory substrates. Thus, removal efficiencies were reduced in proportion to the toxicity levels, from 99.5% to 93.4% for phenol, from 99.3% to 61.6% for chlorophenol and from 98.7% to 73.7% for o-cresol when the pollutants initial concentrations were increased from 100 to 1,000, 1,600, and 1,700 mg/L, respectively.

GAC was utilized as a support for the attachment of the yeast *Candida tropicalis* in a fluidized bed bioreactor operated in a non-turbulent flow regime to reduce biomass detachment and wash out (Galíndez-Mayer et al. 2008). The reactor was applied to the removal of phenol and 4-chlorophenol at increasing volumetric loadings. The removal efficiency of phenol was strongly affected by the influent load and a drastic decrease was observed for values ≥60 mg phenol/(Lh) while 4-chlorophenol in a mixture with phenol was efficiently degraded at significantly lower volumetric loads in the range of 1–4 mg/(Lh).

As seen above, the operation of fixed-bed reactors can be limited by mass transfer. Therefore, high liquid circulation and oxygen supply rates are needed to continuously and homogeneously supply substrates and to keep the immobilized biomass active and uniformly distributed within the reactor bed. To overcome oxygen mass transfer limitations in submerged fixed-bed systems, Gómez-De Jesús et al. (2009) proposed a prototype of a packed bed bioreactor equipped with a net draft tube riser for liquid oxygenation and recirculation. This bioreactor operates with axial and radial flow by oxygenating the liquid in a wire-mesh draft tube located in the center of the packed bed. The prototype, packed with a porous support of a volcanic stone fragments, was successfully tested for oxygen mass transfer efficiency and was applied to the removal of 2,4,6-trichlorophenol using phenol as the primary substrate. Complete removal of phenol (at an influent concentration 92 mg/L) and 2,4,6-trichlorophenol removal efficiencies ≥98% (at influent concentrations in the range of 25–139 mg/L) were achieved.

Fluidized bed

Fluidized bed biological reactors support higher contaminant-biomass and gas–liquid mass transfer than fixed-bed bioreactors. In the case of aerobic processes, this minimizes the formation of anaerobic zones in the deep layers of the biofilm. Moreover, fluidization produces low particle attrition and reduces the hydraulic short-circuiting arising from the formation of preferential flow paths and bed clogging by the growing biomass. Considerable research has been done on the development and testing on of GAC-based fluidized bed bioreactors. Recent investigations on monoaromatics have focused on optimizing operating conditions (Carbajo et al. 2010) and testing innovative biomass carriers (Sevillano et al. 2008). Carbajo et al. (2010) thus reported efficient phenol removal (≥95%) in an anaerobic fluidized bed reactor operated in continuous and batch regimes. However, phenol removal efficiency declined with increasing loading under continuous treatment and with increasing initial concentrations during batch operation. Finally, increased bed viscosity and biomass adhesion to the reactor walls was observed at the highest influent load (3.0 kg/m^3 d) tested.

A critical aspect limiting the applicability of fluidized bed reactors is the high energy requirement for fluidization. Therefore, several researchers have investigated the use of alternative attachment media characterized by high specific-surface area and low density. Sevillano et al. (2008) thus proposed a cyclodextrin polymer (β-cyclodextrin cross-linked with epichlorohydrin) as a biofilm carrier. In addition to having a low density, this material possessed favorable sorption properties for phenolic compounds. It is worth noting that this feature can be advantageously exploited only during the start-up phase (or perhaps during transient loadings) because of the saturation of the

cyclodextrin. The system provided good removal efficiency (~90%) at phenol loadings of 0.7–1 kg/m^3 d. However, a sudden decrease in removal efficiency was observed when the influent load was increased and was attributed to the inhibitory nature of phenol in combination with the low HRT applied.

Fluidization is often provided by pneumatic agitation during aerobic treatment in order to take advantage of the energy needed for aeration. An alternative technological solution is provided by airlift bioreactors, which are compartmented pneumatically agitated bioreactors containing a riser section, where a gas phase is injected (often air), and a downcomer section containing no or little gas phase relative to the riser. By introducing gas specifically in the riser, a density gradient is established between the liquid phases contained in the riser and downcomer sections, which drives the liquid flow circulation between these sections. This flow regime minimizes shear stress and, consequently, favors microbial growth. Additional advantages of airlift reactors are their simplicity in construction and operation and reduced energy demand. Internal loop airlift reactors were for instance successfully applied to the degradation of phenol by *C. tropicalis* (Feng et al. 2007), and phenol and *m*-cresol in single and dual substrate systems by a mixed microbial culture dominated by *Pseudomonas* sp. (Saravanan et al 2008, 2009). The slower degradation rate observed for *m*-cresol in single-compound tests was attributed to the higher toxicity of this compound. This hypothesis was confirmed by the delayed degradation of *m*-cresol in the mixture tests performed at a high concentration (300 mg/L) of the two compounds.

Airlift bioreactor can be used with free-swimming, flocculated, granulated, attached, or immobilized biomass. They can be used with either fixed (the carriers are generally located inside the downcomer) or fluidized biofilm (the carriers are located inside the riser). In addition, although airlift reactors are generally utilized for aerobic processes, these systems are suitable for integrated anaerobic/aerobic processes by manipulating oxygen transfer in the various reactor sections. For instance, Zhao et al. (2009) applied an internal loop airlift bioreactor for the treatment of phenolic wastewater by adding porous polyurethane microbial carriers. An aerobic zone was thus created in the liquid bulk containing suspended biomass by aeration, whereas an anaerobic zone was formed inside the biofilm. This configuration has been found to improve the flexibility and the spectrum of applications of airlift bioreactors, including the removal of aromatic pollutants. Accurate control of the air flow rate is required, however, to maintain fluidization and high dissolved oxygen levels in the aerobic zone without causing a loss/reduction of anaerobic conditions inside the biofilm.

Pulsed-plate bioreactors

An intermediate configuration between the fixed-and fluidized-bed reactors is the recently proposed pulsed-plate bioreactor (Shetty et al. 2007a, b, 2011). Its principle of operation involves the application of a pulsation to a fixed-bed biofilm reactor to improve mass transfer and reduce the problems of preferential fluid paths, short-circuiting and clogging. The slow movements of the bed cause the renewal of the interfacial area, favor the distribution of the substrate, and eliminate gaseous metabolites that can cause dead zones. The advantage of the pulsed-plate bioreactor with respect to the fluidized bed system is the lower energy demand. Biodegradation of phenol was investigated in a pulsed-plate column with the space between the plates packed with glass particles utilized as support for *Nocardia hydrocarbonoxydans* (Shetty et al. 2007a, b, 2011). These investigations mainly focused on evaluating the optimal operating conditions of frequency and amplitude of the pulsation (Shetty et al. 2007a) and dilution rate as a function of the influent phenol concentration (Shetty et al. 2007b).

Immobilized cell bioreactors

The immobilization of microorganisms into dedicated material is a common strategy employed for improving the removal of biorefractory and inhibitory compounds, and this approach has significant advantages in comparison to conventional suspended biomass systems. The first positive feature is the minimization of biomass losses as the cells are confined within a specific volume of the reactor. Moreover, the biomass concentration can be easily increased and the immobilization matrix can act, to some extent, as a protective barrier against the toxic effects of substrates (Li and Wang 2008, Juang and Kao 2009, Li and Loh 2007, Wang et al 2009). Immobilized cells can be utilized in fixed and fluidized bed reactors and in the recently described pulsed-bed bioreactor. Various support media for immobilization have been investigated, including membranes, alginate beads, sintered glass, gels, foams, GAC, but recent studies have mainly focused on membrane bioreactors.

Membrane contactors

Membrane bioreactors are commonly used for the treatment of both domestic and industrial wastewater. In their classical configuration, the membrane merely acts as a physical barrier to remove microorganisms and pollutants from the effluent. Thus, the membrane also provides a very efficient mean for retaining and actively controlling the biomass concentration inside the system in order to support a high volumetric removal capacity. These applications are

well described in the literature and will not be reviewed here. Instead, we will focus on recent applications utilizing the membrane's ability to offer a suitable support for microbial growth.

For instance, cells can be immobilized within the fibers of hollow-fiber membrane and/or grow attached onto the surface. This technology has been successfully used for treating high-strength phenol-laden streams and was recently proposed in applications utilizing *Pseudomonas putida* BCRC14365 (Juang et al. 2008; Juang and Kao 2009) with a polypropylene membrane and *P. putida* ATCC49451 (Li and Loh. 2007) with a polysulfone membrane. These studies aimed at evaluating the contribution of the immobilized biofilm on the biodegradation process (Juang and Kao 2009) and at minimizing the fouling caused by biofilm overgrowth on the shell side by adding the dispersing agent tetrasodium pyrophosphate (Juang et al. 2008). A fortuitous aspect of hollow-fiber membranes applied to phenol biodegradation in this study was the ability to deal with high-salinity water (Juang and Wu 2007) with a significant increase of the applicable influent load at NaCl concentration up to 1.52 M.

To limit toxicity effects without reducing the applicable influent load, Wang and Li (2007) developed an activated carbon-filled polyethersulfone composite hollow-fiber system used to immobilize *P. putida* for phenol removal. The incorporation of GAC enhanced the sorption capacity of the hollow fiber membranes but GAC bioregeneration was required for long-term operation in order to maintain high removal efficiency. This adsorptive deterioration was observed both during batch tests (Wang and Li 2007) and in a continuous system (Li and Wang 2008) where a progressive reduction of the removal efficiency was detected with increasing phenol loading. If bioregeneration is applied (i.e., regeneration of the activated carbon using the indigenous biomass after exhaustion of the phenol in solution), the required times could be very long (and not suitable for application) due to the strong adsorptive properties of GAC and the poor release of the adsorbed compound from the solid to the liquid phase.

An alternative configuration for membranes is the spiral module characterized by high membrane surface area per unit volume. Yordanova et al. (2009) investigated phenol biodegradation by *Aspergillus awamori* NRRL 3112 immobilized on a modified polyacrylonitrile membrane spirally wound in a lab-scale bioreactor with recirculation. Significant advantages in terms of stability and process efficiency were observed in comparison to the suspended cell system.

Gel immobilizing agents

Calcium alginate beads have been extensively utilized as an immobilizing matrix and were recently applied to phenol biodegradation by *C. tropicalis* NCIM 3556 in a packed-bed column reactor (Varma and Gaikwad 2010). Calcium alginate is characterized by its high biocompatibility, low cost, easy availability, and simplicity of preparation (Shao et al. 2009) but can be subject to abrasion and gel deterioration as found by Ahamad and Kunhi (2011) who compared the performance of phenol degradation by *Pseudomonas* sp. CP4 cells entrapped in Ca alginate and agar gel beads in a fluidized bed reactor. Better efficiency was observed with the agar gel system that was able to degrade the highest phenol concentrations in the influent, up to 4,000 mg/L.

As an alternative to calcium alginate, El-Naas et al. (2009) proposed a polyvinyl alcohol (PVA) gel, a synthetic polymer possessing high mechanical resistance and greater durability. The PVA matrix was employed to immobilize cells of *P. putida* in a bubble column reactor for phenol removal and the effect of operating parameters and influent concentration toxicity on process performance were examined. The biodegradation rate was strongly affected by the substrate concentration with a pattern following Haldane kinetics (El-Naas et al. 2009). The PVA was also used in conjunction with *P. putida* for phenol biodegradation in a continuous spouted bed bioreactor (El-Naas et al. 2010). A cyclic motion of the particles within the bed was generated using a single air jet injected at the bottom of the reactor. The enhanced mixing reduced the effects of substrate inhibition.

GAC-composites as cell supports and substrate adsorbents

Activated carbon has been used together with immobilizing agents PVA and xanthan gum (Kwon et al. 2009). The carbon content in the beads had to be limited to 1% because higher fractions decreased the mechanical strength of the beads. The composite polymer-activated carbon beads were then tested for phenol removal by immobilized *Pseudomonas fluorescence* KNU417 in a packed-bed bioreactor. The performance of microorganisms immobilized into PVA beads was compared to the performance of microorganisms immobilized into PVA-GAC beads. The beneficial effect of the activated carbon was limited to the start-up phase and similar removal efficiencies were recorded after stabilization. These data suggest (as it was observed in membrane and biofilm reactors) that the addition of activated carbon can be beneficial to prevent sudden shocks.

Foam matrices

As an immobilizing agent, polyurethane foam contains macropores that provide low diffusional resistance and can efficiently support biomass growth. In a recent application,

Ribeiro de Nardi et al. (2007) investigated the biodegradation of BTEX by a bacterial consortium immobilized into polyurethane in a horizontal flow anaerobic immobilized biomass reactor. The authors reported BTEX removal efficiencies in the range of 41–77%. The same system was applied to the removal of BTEX by a denitrifying immobilized consortium by Ribeiro Gusmão et al. (2007), who observed the independent parallel removal of benzene, toluene, and xylene with high removal efficiencies (>90%). The advantages of cell immobilization onto polyurethane foam with respect to suspended biomass systems were also highlighted by Wang et al. (2009) in terms of improved performance and resistance to shock loadings for nitrobenzene removal. The immobilized cell reactor also supported higher removal efficiency in the presence of salinity, phenol, and aniline.

Sequencing batch reactors

In SBRs, microorganisms are periodically exposed to cycling operating conditions. This substrate regime, to a certain extent, directs the composition and metabolic properties of the microbial cultures operating in the biological processes. This feature arises from the controlled, short term, unsteady state conditions that can favor the induction of enzymes able to develop specific metabolic pathways, and is of particular relevance in the biodegradation of biorefractory compounds (Venkata Mohan et al. 2005, Tomei et al. 2008). In addition, SBR reactors are characterized by high operational flexibility and a favorable cost-effectiveness ratio for small-scale treatment facilities (Sahinkaya and Dilek 2007).

Recent applications of SBRs have been reported for mixtures of substituted phenols. Tomei et al. (2008) investigated the kinetics of biodegradation of a 4-nitrophenol and 3,4-dimethylphenol in a conventional suspended biomass SBR finding an improvement in the degradation kinetics of the more slowly degradable compound (3,4-dimethylphenol) as a mixture in comparison with single compound tests. The kinetics of 4-chlorophenol and 2,4-dichlorophenol (which are supposedly more toxic than dimethylphenol) in batch and SBR reactors were investigated by Sahinkaya and Dilek (2007) and significant substrate inhibition was observed in single compound tests. In mixture, 4-chlorophenol degradation was strongly and competitively inhibited by the presence of 2,4-dichlorophenol. It was possible to reduce this effect by prolonging the feed times in the SBR. The inhibitory effect of 4-chlorophenol was also observed by Monsalvo et al. (2009) during the biodegradation of a mixture of phenol and 4-chlorophenol, and a beneficial effect was provided by an increase in temperature with more efficient degradation kinetics at 35°C.

To improve SBR operation during the treatment of wastewater containing inhibitory compounds, Moussavi et al. (2009) proposed a moving-bed sequencing batch reactor using mixed biomass attached onto cylindrically shaped particles of polystyrene. The objective was to combine the advantages of discontinuous operation with the performance of the moving bed biofilm reactors. The system was applied to a high-load phenolic wastewater and showed effective performance for influent phenol concentrations up to 3000 mg/L. A subsequent upgrade of this system, the moving bed sequential continuous inflow reactor (MSCR) was proposed by Moussavi and Heidarizad (2010). In the MSCR, the cyclic operational mode was maintained but the influent was added continuously at low flow rate. The system was applied to the biodegradation of a mixture of phenol, formaldehyde, and COD in wastewater, and removal efficiencies higher than 97% were obtained with the system showing a rapid recovery in the presence of hydraulic shock loads.

Granular sludge reactors

Granular sludge is made of self-immobilizing cells and can be considered to be a special case of biofilm systems (Adav et al. 2008). Granulation was first applied to strictly anaerobic systems and later extended to aerobic systems in the late 1990s. Aerobic granules are mainly developed in SBR reactors and are densely packed microbial aggregates with densities much higher than that of activated sludge. Granules have excellent settling properties and can withstand high organic loads and toxic influents. The mechanisms of granulation are still poorly understood but experimental evidence suggests that accurate control of the hydraulics of the system is necessary to achieve the hydrodynamic shear force necessary to stabilize the three dimensional structure of the granules. Moreover, to achieve efficient operation, significant energy input (i.e., high recycle flows) is required to maintain a high degree of fluidization.

Recent applications of granular sludge targeted the treatment of high-strength phenol wastewaters. Ho et al. (2010) thus studied the inhibitory effect of high-phenol concentrations in batch tests and found the upper concentration limit for biodegradation inhibition to be >3,000 mg/L. Moussavi and Heidarizad (2010) utilized an aerobic granular SBR for the biodegradation of phenol in saline wastewater obtaining removal efficiencies ≥98% for influent concentrations in the range of 100–2,000 mg/L.

Granules degrading phenol were microbiologically analyzed by Adav et al. (2007), and accumulation of active biomass on the external layer was observed, from which C. tropicalis was isolated. The isolated strain was able to effectively degrade phenol and the inhibitory effect was detected at concentrations >1,000 mg/L.

Other applications of aerobic granules have been studied for the biodegradation of chlorinated phenols. Carucci et al (2010) investigated 4-chlorophenol removal in a granular SBR and reported very high removal efficiencies (99%) at an influent concentration of 50 mg/l. Wang et al. (2007) studied 2,4-dichlorophenol biodegradation in an aerobic granular SBR and reported a removal efficiency of 94% at an influent concentration of 105 mg/l.

Evaluation of applicability

Many of the conventional configurations presented above have provided satisfactory treatment of monoaromatic substrates at the laboratory scale in terms of substrate removal efficiency. The practical implementation of these technologies, however, may not be entirely straightforward. Except for the configurations based on the use of adsorptive media (as supports or immobilizing agents), there is no physical reduction of the contaminant concentration and therefore the biomass, even if it experiences more favorable conditions in comparison to conventional suspended biomass reactors, is always exposed to high substrate levels. As a consequence, the effect of substrate toxicity can be attenuated but not completely eliminated. The operation of most of the bioprocesses describe here will also remain limited by the high risk of complete process failure (biomass loss) during episodes of toxic shocks. The addition of an adsorptive medium (generally activated carbon) can reduce the concentration level (at least temporarily) but generates the additional problem of producing a new polluted matrix that has to be treated or disposed of. Moreover, the immobilized cell bioreactors investigated utilized pure cultures, which will limit the likelihood of such systems being applied in practice, especially for wastewater treatment, which invariably involve mixed microbial populations. Studies aimed at the use of mixed population immobilized systems may, therefore, be a fruitful area of research.

Many of the conventional methods described required complex materials and equipment, and the need for accurate operational control relative to the most basic of systems (e.g., activated sludge) likely making these approaches not particularly robust and potentially quite expensive. These various technologies (e.g., membrane systems, immobilized cells) may therefore be economical only when used in bioprocesses that generate a saleable product (i.e., when *product* inhibition is ameliorated). Simple and inexpensive technologies are needed for the biological treatment of toxic pollutants. In the following, alternative technological solutions considering these pre-requisites are presented and analyzed in terms of potential applicability.

Two-phase partitioning bioreactors

Over the past 25 years, the concept of introducing a second immiscible phase into a bioreactor to enhance bioprocess performance has evolved from a rudimentary idea to numerous applications in biotechnology and environmental engineering. TPPB systems are all designed to address a common constraint of bioprocesses, namely, that the presence of toxic molecules in such systems can limit process performance. TPPBs, by means of an immiscible second phase, selectively partition toxic molecules either *to* the microorganisms in degradative reactions, or *away* from the microorganisms in synthesis reactions to eliminate toxicity and improve process performance. Below, we provide a brief historical perspective of the evolution of TPPB systems, describe the features and performance of three TPPB modifications (liquid–liquid, encapsulated, and solid–liquid) as applied to the biodegradation of mono-aromatics and suggest future directions for this technology platform.

Biphasic systems were originally conceived to reduce end-product inhibition in the production of toxic fermentation products (e.g., ethanol, butanol) and, because immiscible organic solvents were used, this processing strategy (originally conceived in the 1980s) was called *Extractive Fermentation* (Kollerup and Daugulis 1985). By expanding the possible methods (e.g., pervaporation) available for removing inhibitory fermentation products directly from bioreactors, the term in situ product removal (ISPR) became a widely accepted expression for this strategy by the 1990s (Freeman et al. 1993). In the mid-1990s biphasic processing began to be applied to degradative systems in which toxic substrates were added to an immiscible organic solvent phase, to partition to a cell-containing aqueous phase based on cellular demand and on maintaining the thermodynamic equilibrium of the system. At this time, the term "Two Phase Partitioning Bioreactor" became the most widely used expression to describe such systems, and the use of this phrase has followed a very steep trajectory: from the ISI Web of Science database the first recorded use of the term "Partitioning Bioreactor" occurred in 1996, and as of the time of writing (December 2010), 195 articles have been published that use this phrase (35 articles in 2010), with 2061 citations in total (more than 500 in 2010). If "Partitioning Bioreactor" were a researcher s/he would have an h-index of 25. Research on TPPBs is being conducted in more than 20 countries around the world.

Although TPPBs continue to be effectively utilized to reduce inhibition in the production of toxic fermentation products (Gao and Daugulis 2009; Nielsen et al. 2010), TPPBs have overwhelmingly been applied to the treatment of inhibitory substrates, and this technology platform has been patented (Daugulis and Collins 2001). This review

focuses primarily on TPPB research published during the last 3–4 years in treating inhibitory monoaromatics, however, it is also striking to see the breadth of applications (i.e., to non-monoaromatics), and the large number of TPPB systems that have been studied over the past decade, as described in a recent excellent review (Quijano et al. 2009a). Although the motivation for these studies and those on monoaromatics that will be discussed in more detail here has, in most cases, been to reduce substrate toxicity, the use of a sequestering/delivery phase in TPPBs can also enhance substrate delivery of poorly water soluble compounds by providing a large substrate source for mass transfer, and can also positively influence the oxygen transfer rate.

Liquid–liquid TPPBs

Immiscible organic liquids have overwhelmingly been the choice as the sequestering/partitioning phase in the biotreatment of toxic substrates in TPPBs (Quijano et al. 2009a). Silicone oil has been used in the vast majority of cases, with occasional selection of other organic solvents (e.g., bis-2-ethylhexyl sebacate and heptamethyl nonane (HMN)) and even ionic liquids (Baumann et al. 2005). Strategies for selecting TPPB solvents have been described in detail (Bruce and Daugulis 1991). Silicone oil (like HMN) has the desirable properties of being biocompatible with most microorganisms while also being essentially inert in terms of biodegradation (i.e., non-bioavailable), two features that are essential in biodegradative applications, particularly for mixed microbial populations. Notwithstanding the above potential advantages, silicone oil is far from ideal as a partitioning phase due to its high cost (circa €150–200/kg for the preferred low viscosity grade), high viscosity, tendency to cause foaming, adhesion to reactor internals and to biomass, and its potential to foul chromatography columns during analysis. Numerous other immiscible organic solvents have also been successfully used (Quijano et al. 2009a; Munoz et al. 2008), albeit often only with pure cultures to ensure non-biodegradability. Liquid polymer (Barton and Daugulis 1992) and cloud point systems (Wang et al. 2008) are two other liquid–liquid partitioning bioreactor systems that have been successfully demonstrated.

High concentrations of toxic monoaromatics have been successfully degraded in liquid–liquid TPPBs, in all cases at substantially superior rates than in single phase systems, due either to reduced toxicity achieved by the sequestering phase or enhanced substrate delivery (increased mass transfer) or both. Recent reports have included the degradation of pentachlorophenol (using dioctyl sebacate as the sequestering phase (Zilouei et al. 2008)), phenol (using kerosene (Juang and Tseng 2010; Juang et al. 2010)), benzene, toluene and phenol (using 2-undecanone (Hamed

et al. 2004), toluene (using D-2-ethylhexyladipate (Darracq et al. 2010), 4-nitrophenol (using 2-undecanone (Tomei et al. 2008)), benzene (using n-hexadecane (Singh and Fulekar 2010), toluene (using n-hexadecane (Farhadian et al. 2010), and isopropylbenzene (using silicone oil (Aldric and Thonart 2008)). The quantitative improvements provided by TPPBs relative to single phase systems have been characterized in several ways: for example, feed benzene concentrations could be increased fivefold (880 to 4,400 mg/l) with similar performance (Hamed et al. 2004), degradation rate constants were increased threefold for the bioremediation of 4-nitrophenol in a TPPB relative to single phase operation (Tomei et al. 2008) and the volumetric removal rate for pentachlorophenol was increased by more than 100 times (1 to 142 mg/L h) via the use of a TPPB (Zilouei et al. 2008). In two instances (Darracq et al. 2010; Tomei et al. 2008), some degradation of the solvent delivery phase was observed, perhaps due to the fact that these systems used widely mixed populations of organisms, and again highlights one of the potential drawbacks of two-liquid phase systems for biotreatment applications. The potential biodegradability of the sequestering phase is less a problem in systems in which toxic fermentation products are removed via ISPR, because in such situations pure cultures are invariably used, and non-biodegradable solvents can usually be found.

An interesting operational consideration for liquid–liquid TPPBs is whether substrate mass transfer (from the solvent to the aqueous phase) or microbial kinetics is the rate limiting step in these systems. Two studies (Rehmann and Daugulis 2008b; Zilouei et al. 2008) have shown that mass transfer is not limiting, at least under the conditions studied, and this is likely due to the extensive dispersion of the solvent phase (and correspondingly small bubbles) in well-mixed bioreactors. A related practical matter is how the sequestering phase affects oxygen transfer, and a large number of studies have been conducted to examine the impact of the presence of an organic solvent on either k_La, or oxygen transfer rate (OTR). Enhancement of oxygen transfer has invariably been found for aqueous-organic TPPBs. In assessing such reports, it is important to make the distinction between these two metrics of oxygen transfer (k_La and OTR) because, as has been discussed in earlier work on the subject (Nielsen et al. 2003; 2005), these two terms can sometimes be confused. Although in single aqueous phase systems, the k_La and OTR have tended to be used almost interchangeably as an indicator of oxygen transfer efficiency (as they can when the oxygen driving force is fixed), lower k_La values for TPPB systems do not imply a reduced OTR, merely that the system has taken longer to reach saturation due to the additional sink provided by the presence of an organic phase, whose oxygen solubility can be many fold higher than water.

Recent contributions in examining oxygen transfer in TPPBs include those by Quijano et al. 2009a, b; Torres-Martínez et al. 2010; and Quijano et al. 2010a, b, c. Liquid–liquid TPPBs have therefore not only been shown to detoxify numerous xenobiotic substrates, they also have the fortuitous and unforeseen benefit of enhancing the OTR in biotreatment applications.

In summary, liquid–liquid TPPBs have been shown to be superior to single-phase biotreatment systems due to their capacity to overcome substrate toxicity directly. The use of silicone oil will likely be the solvent of choice for many researchers; however, its use in bioremediation applications will probably be limited to academic studies, demonstrations of concept, and fundamental investigations (e.g., modeling, mass transfer) rather than full-scale implementation due to the high cost of this material, and the other limitations identified above. This is in contrast to ISPR applications of TPPBs (e.g., extractive fermentation) in which pure cultures are invariably used and alternative solvents (other than silicone oil) can usually be found. Moreover, the use of any immiscible organic liquid as the partitioning phase in commercial remediation applications may be impractical if direct contact between the solvent and the contaminated air, water, or soil site is required, due to the potential transfer of the solvent (via dissolution or adhesion) to the material being treated.

Encapsulated liquid-phase TPPBs

In situations in which direct contact between an immiscible carrier phase and the degrading organism is undesirable (i.e., in cases in which the partitioning phase is biodegradable or cytotoxic), encapsulation of the solvent phase has been shown to function effectively. For example, liquid-core capsules composed of a dibutyl sebacate contained within a crosslinked alginate/polyacrylamide membrane showed reduced toxicity relative to single-phase biodegradation of atrazine (Wyss et al. 2006). Also, to reduce substrate and/or solvent toxicity, chitosan-coated PVA beads containing silicone oil were shown to enhance biodegradative performance (Sarma et al. 2010). Phenol degradation has also been demonstrated in a partitioning bioreactor in which the sequestering phase was either 1-nonanol (Zhao et al. 2010a) or modified montmorillonite encapsulated in polysulfone (Zhao et al. 2010b). In the latter case, phenol degradation was almost doubled (125.8 to 208.4 mg/L h) by operating as a TPPB rather than in single-phase mode.

The use of such encapsulation methodologies to separate a solvent from cells may have somewhat limited applicability in bioremediation processes, however. As noted above, TPPBs have been used for both biosynthetic applications (e.g., ISPR for toxic fermentation products) and biodegradative ones. In biosynthetic appli-

cations, there is greater flexibility in the selection of the partitioning phase, particularly considering its cost and/or complexity, since biosynthetic systems that produce a commercial product can generate a revenue stream. On the other hand, biotreatment processes are often driven by criteria such as simplicity and low cost, and in such cases it may not be feasible to use encapsulated complexes as the partitioning phase in TPPBs, particularly when simpler, and less expensive, alternatives (e.g., polymers) are available.

Solid–liquid TPPBs

The first demonstration that solid polymer beads can replace immiscible organic solvents (Amsden et al. 2003) has spawned numerous subsequent studies of solid-liquid TPPBs successfully applied in both biosynthetic and bioremediation systems. The main advantages in substituting polymers for immiscible organic solvents are their completely inert nature (non-bioavailable and non-cytotoxic) and their exceedingly low cost. As an example, thermoplastic elastomers such as DuPont's Hytrel, cost less than €5 per kg. These features are extremely important in bioremediation, where mixed populations of organisms are invariably employed, and where costs need to be very tightly controlled. The fact that uptake by amorphous commercial polymers occurs by absorption rather than by adsorption confirms that the same mechanisms apply to solid–liquid TPPBs, namely, equilibrium uptake and release of toxic substrates, and delivery based on metabolic demand. The use of inexpensive, non-volatile, non-flammable, biocompatible and easily shaped polymers as the sequestering phase in a bioreactor is an enormous advance in designing high-efficiency and low-cost bioprocesses that eliminate cell toxicity and is key to the development of "green", solvent-free processing strategies.

Monoaromatics that have been biodegraded using polymer-based TPPBs include toluene (Daugulis and Boudreau 2008; He et al. 2009), phenolic mixtures (Prpich et al. 2006), BTEX compounds (Littlejohns and Daugulis 2008a), phenol (Amsden and Lau 2008), 4-nitrophenol (Tomei et al. 2009; Tomei et al. 2010), as well as hexane (Hernandez et al. 2010) and pinene (Montes et al. 2011). As expected, enhanced bioremediation performance was demonstrated in all cases relative to single-phase operation. By way of example, Tomei et al. (2010) found that a feed concentration of 1,000 mg/L 4-nitrophenol caused complete cessation of microbial growth due to substrate toxicity in single-phase operation, and that by adding only 5% (v/v) polymers and operating as a TPPB, the same reactor allowed rapid and complete biodegradation of this substrate. These authors also showed no long-term build up of substrate within the polymer matrix and

multiple re-use of the polymer beads with no decrease in performance of the sequestering phase. Selection criteria for the use of polymers have been formulated (Rehmann et al. 2007), and modification of the polymer to enhance performance through sonication (Isaza and Daugulis 2010) or through admixing polymers with magnetic beads (to facilitate removal of the sequestering phase from contaminated water and soil sites) has also been demonstrated (Yeom et al. 2010a). Polymeric wastes, such as shredded automobile tires have also been shown to work effectively as a sequestering phase in solid–liquid TPPBs (Prpich et al. 2008).

The enhancement of OTR, seen for two-liquid TPPBs, has also been confirmed for solid–liquid systems (Littlejohns and Daugulis 2007), making these systems similar in this aspect. Two liquid-phase systems do have an advantage over polymer-based TPPBs in substrate mass transfer (Littlejohns and Daugulis 2009; Hernandez et al. 2010), since some instances have been reported (Rehmann and Daugulis 2008c) in which the rate of substrate delivery from polymers was determined to be rate limiting; this could potentially be overcome with polymers of higher diffusivity or with small polymer beads with reduced diffusional path lengths. Given the past emphasis on the use of silicone oil in liquid–liquid TPPBs, it would be interesting to undertake a comparison between the use of this material in TPPBs with silicone rubber (Littlejohns and Daugulis 2007), a material which is identical in chemical composition, polydimethylsiloxane, but which is merely a (much cheaper) solid.

Although both liquid–liquid and solid–liquid TPPBs have shown significantly enhanced performance in all cases relative to single-phase systems, some assessment of the relative merits of these two types of TPPBs should perhaps be made. In terms of substrate detoxification, and hence reactor capability, liquid–liquid and solid–liquid TPPBs have demonstrated performance which in most cases has been indistinguishable (Boudreau and Daugulis 2006; Tomei et al. 2009), although solid–liquid TPPBs have been shown to be superior to liquid–liquid systems from an operability standpoint (Morrish and Daugulis 2008). Particularly in situations in which mixed cultures are used (certainly the case for practical bioremediation applications), two-liquid phase systems are limited to using perhaps only silicone oil or HMN. For this reason, the use of polymers has clear advantages as many thousands of commercial polymers are available with varying properties and affinities for target molecules, and the use of mixtures of polymers tailored to a particular mixture of target substrates is easily accomplished (Morrish and Daugulis 2008). From a practical standpoint, the use of polymers is also superior in terms of storage, handling of spills, safety, and recovery and reuse of the sequestering phase relative to the use of organic solvents. Critically, commercial poly-

mers, including waste plastics and rubbers (such as automobile tires) will have the enormous advantage of being far less costly than solvents. In situations requiring direct contact with contaminated sources (e.g., contaminated soil) polymers again would appear to be superior, as well as eliminating concerns about flammability, viscosity, and losses through adhesion to particles (e.g., soil) or bioreactor internals. Unless the pollutants are available in a near-pure form (e.g., as stored or stockpiled pesticides and banned chemicals) and can be added directly to a TPPB, contacting and completely recovering an immiscible solvent from a contaminated source would be very difficult to achieve in practice without significant solvent losses and contamination of the environment by the solvent. Remediation of actual contaminated air, water and soil environments by uptake of the target pollutant by direct contact with polymer beads, followed by destruction of the toxic substrate in a solid–liquid TPPB has previously been demonstrated (Prpich et al. 2006; Prpich et al. 2008; Rehmann et al 2008a; Rehmann and Daugulis 2008d; Yeom et al. 2010a).

In the recent review of TPPBs in environmental biotechnology, Munoz and co-workers provided several conclusions and prospects for real-world applications of TPPBs (Quijano et al. 2009a): (1) TPPBs, have demonstrated superior performance to conventional biological techniques, (2) The use of liquid-liquid TPPBs is constrained by foaming, high-viscosity and high cost of the sequestering phase, (3) Solid–liquid TPPBs can potentially and satisfactorily address these limitations. We would also suggest that the added advantages of direct application to contaminated sites, and the potential of tailoring polymers and/or using waste polymers provide a bright future for solid–liquid TPPBs in environmental applications.

Overall assessment

The area of TPPBs has matured over the past 15 years to the point where general design strategies have been formulated to aid in specifying TPPB operating protocols (Yeom et al. 2010b; 2010c), modelling under steady-state and dynamic conditions has been performed for liquid–liquid TPPBs (Fazaelipoor 2007; Nielsen et al. 2007) as well as solid–liquid systems (Littlejohns et al. 2010), scale-up has been examined (Marques et al. 2010) and economics assessed (Mahanty et al. 2010). One of us (AJD) is currently undertaking the design and fabrication of new polymers with targeted properties for use in TPPB systems for both biodegradative and biosynthetic applications. The TPPB technology platform, particularly in solid–liquid mode, has enormous scientific and practical potential in biosynthetic as well as environmental applications.

Integrated chemical-biological treatment

Rationale and principle

Despite the significant improvements arising from microbial acclimatization or controlled substrate delivery, it is not always possible or economical to treat industrial wastewater biologically. For instance, the biodegradation of certain pollutants may require very long cell retention times (e.g., 40 days for xenobiotic degradation) due to competitive substrate uptake and slow growth at low substrate concentrations. This requirement often means poor sludge settleability and high oxygen demand during aerobic treatment. Another drawback is the potential instability caused by fluctuating influent properties, especially when process performance relies on a diverse microbial community containing sensitive microorganisms (e.g., methanogenic archaea during anaerobic treatment, bacterial nitrifiers during combined nitrogen–carbon removal, or algae during photosynthetic oxygenation in ponds). In these situations, a chemical pre-treatment step can serve to convert the recalcitrant and/or toxic pollutants into biodegradable and biocompatible products before biological treatment (Scott and Ollis 1995, 1996, 1997; Esplugas and Ollis 1997). This integrated approach is considered as more cost-efficient and environmentally friendly than full chemical treatment for several reasons: (1) chemical wastewater treatment often requires higher amounts of energy (e.g., UVC-irradiation, sonication, ozonation) and chemicals (e.g., Fe(II), TiO_2, H_2O_2, etc) than biological treatments processes, (2) chemical treatment often only partially mineralizes organic pollutants into products that *must* be further degraded (meaning integration is often a de facto necessity), and (3) because of the high energy and material consumption highlighted above, it has been argued that intensive chemical treatment can have an overall negative impact on the environment due to the associated release of greenhouse gases to the atmosphere (Jones et al. 2007).

Design and optimization

The design of an integrated chemical–biological process is generally based on the assumption that the chemical process is more costly and must be applied only until a significant enhancement in biodegradability is achieved. Under this assumption, an integrated process is optimized by determining the minimum chemical dose (e.g., UV, H_2O_2, or ozone dose) needed to allow subsequent biological treatment with acclimatized microorganisms under, possibly, substrate dilution in a continuous process. In the case of biorecalcitrant pollutants, the minimum dose is typically the dose that allows pollutant removal below targeted values for discharge (Zapata et al. 2010b;

Mendoza-Marín et al. 2010). In the case of toxic pollutants, the dose depends on the process and microorganisms used for biological treatment (Essam et al. 2007b).

A mathematic approach based on mass balance analysis and experimental kinetic data was utilized to co-optimize phenol removal in an integrated UV-H_2O_2/biological process (Edalatmanesh et al. 2008). Unfortunately, the constructed model was not experimentally validated and has little predictive power in real applications because the mechanisms and rates of the chemical and biological reactions involved in the removal of organic pollutants are significantly affected by environmental conditions, wastewater composition, and scale effects. For instance, Essam et al. (2006a) reported that the simulated solar irradiation of a mixture of chlorophenols yielded toxic and biorecalcitrant products that were not detected when the pollutants were irradiated individually. Zapata et al. (2010a) observed that the irradiation time necessary to completely remove the aromatic pesticides imidacloprid and pyrimethanil from simulated wastewater by solar photo-Fenton treatment increased threefold when the photoreactor volume was scaled-up from 75 to 1,060 L, mainly due to wastewater salinity and temperature effects. Using the same process, Zapata et al. (2010b) also reported differences in the treatment efficiencies when a real wastewater was used instead of a simulated wastewater containing aromatic pesticides.

Because of the difficulty in predicting the efficiency of partial chemical treatments and the toxicity and biodegradability of the products formed, systematic experimental optimization remains necessary. For this purpose, Sarria et al. (2002) proposed to initially assess the wastewater toxicity and inherent biodegradability at various dilutions in order to determine if direct biological treatment of diluted substrate with acclimatized microorganisms is feasible. If this could not be accomplished, a pre-treatment would then be applied until significant toxicity reduction and/or biodegradability enhancement is achieved. Practically, such an optimization scheme requires the ability to monitor removal efficiency, toxicity, and biodegradability. "Generic" parameters such as the dissolved organic carbon concentration (DOC) and the chemical oxygen demand (COD) are therefore commonly used to evaluate removal efficiency and track potential degradation products. From these parameters, the average oxidation state (AOS) of the organic carbon in solution can also be calculated as:

$$AOS = \frac{4(DOC - COD)}{TOC}$$

where the COD and TOC are expressed in molar concentrations (the AOS varies from −4 for CH_4 to +4 for CO_2). Most aromatic pollutants undergo sequential oxidation

reactions during chemical treatments and each reaction converts its reactants into more oxidized products. Consequently, as the pollutant concentrations, the DOC, and the COD decrease, the AOS typically increases during chemical treatment and becomes an indicator of the amount of degradation products formed and their oxidation state. Interestingly, the AOS also tends to correlate positively with the biodegradability of organic compounds. This correlation is explained by the fact that the chemical oxidation of aromatic pollutants often induces structural changes such as ring opening, hydroxylation, or dehalogenation (Suarez-Ojeda et al. 2007; Goi et al. 2004, Essam et al. 2007b) that also increase biodegradability (Goi et al. 2004, Loonen et al. 1999). Unfortunately, the same reactions can also cause the formation of toxic or biorecalcitrant compounds, explaining why the AOS cannot be used as a substitute for bioassays. For instance, Mehrvar and Tabrizi (2006) reported that $UV–H_2O_2$ treatment of alkylbenzene sulfonate actually decreased the biodegradability of the effluent in a sequencing batch reactor inoculated with activated sludge microflora. Likewise, Olmez-Hanci et al. 2010 reported that $UV–H_2O_2$ treatment of a simulated wastewater containing diethyl phthalate caused pollutant removal but no detoxification to activated sludge.

In order to provide the best conditions possible for microbial degradation to take place (e.g., microbial acclimation and substrate dilution), inherent biodegradation assays (e.g., 28 days Zahn–Wellens assays) are often preferred during the testing of integrated treatments. The BOD/COD and BOD/TOC ratios are also commonly used as indicators of biodegradability, with a $BOD_5/COD>0.4$ being considered as typical of easily biodegradable organic matter (Scott and Ollis 1997). Considerable care should however be taken when using the BOD_5 assay (no time is given for acclimation to occur), especially if biorecalcitrant compounds are found together with biodegradable compounds present at much higher concentrations.

Alternative monitoring strategies can be used in specific applications. For instance, Essam et al. (2006a, 2007a) used a mass balance analysis based on chloride and COD concentrations in order to determine the dechlorination efficiency of solar-based pre-treatment and the level of chlorination of the degradation products formed from chlorophenols. Because the toxicity and aerobic biodegradability of chlorinated aromatics is inversely correlated to the number of chlorine atoms each compound contains (see cited studies and references), the pre-treatment yielding the lower amount of chlorinated products ($UV–H_2O_2–TiO_2$) also yielded the higher amount biodegradable products and overall highest efficiency.

Numerous bioassays have been used to monitor toxicity during integrated chemical–biological treatment, *Vibrio*

fischeri bioluminescence and activated sludge respirometry being the most popular. However, many authors have repeatedly failed to demonstrate toxicity reduction during chemical treatment using the *V. fischeri* toxicity assay, which can easily be explained by the fact that this marine bacterium is naturally poorly equipped to resist a demanding freshwater environment. This discussion is however rather irrelevant as different toxicity assays should be used with different purposes; activated sludge respirometry would logically be more suitable to determine inhibition risks during biological treatment with activated sludge microorganisms whereas a more sensitive assay should be used to assess the overall detoxification efficiency of the process with regard to the recipient ecosystem used for wastewater discharge. Finding the optimum dose for chemical treatment can however be difficult because risk prevention during the treatment of unpredictable or variable wastewater composition could require overdosing the chemical treatment (Zapata et al. 2010b), whereas overdosing may also cause toxicity to increase (Zapata et al. 2010a).

Recent progress

Progress in the development of integrated chemical-biological processes were extensively reviewed by Scott and Ollis 1997 (Scott and Ollis 1997) and more recently by Mantzavinos and Psillakis (2004) and Tabrizi and Mehrvar (2004). Since these reviews, notable progress has been made in process scale-up, real wastewater treatment, and further demonstration for numerous types of pollutants and wastewater. For instance, Malato et al. (2007) demonstrated the removal of biorecalcitrant α-methylphenylglycine at pilot scale using integrated solar-Fenton/biological treatment with immobilized biomass whereas Zapata et al. (2010a, b), as described above, demonstrated the removal of aromatic pesticides from simulated and real wastewater at various scales using the same process. Pilot-scale photo-Fenton treatment was thus able to significantly reduce the toxicity of an artificial mixture of five pesticides (two aromatics) to *V. fischeri* and activated sludge while increasing its inherent biodegradability from 50% to 95%. Overall, the integrated treatment supported a DOC removal of 84% at industrial scale, with 35% corresponding to the chemical step. Using the same process, Mendoza-Marín et al. (2010) reported that solar photo-Fenton treatment of simulated and real agro-industrial wastewaters containing the aromatic pesticides dichlorophenoxyacetic acid and diuron increased the effluent BOD_5/COD from 0.3 to 0.6, thereby allowing an overall DOC removal efficiency of 82.5% after biological treatment (50% due to the solar photo-Fenton process). Vilar et al. (2011) reported that solar photo-Fenton treatment of a landfill leachate containing

caffeic acid (286–579 mg/l) increased the effluent BOD_5/COD ratio from 0.16 to 0.37 and its inherent biodegradability from 44 to 49% up to 89% depending on the H_2O_2 dose used. Salles et al. (2010) showed the electrochemical pre-treatment of aromatic pesticide Phosmet reduced toxicity to *V. fischeri* and increased biodegradability (BOD_5/COD ratio), thereby allowing subsequent treatment with activated sludge microflora (total mineralization of 97%). Suarez-Ojeda et al. (2007) reported near complete COD removal during the treatment of a high-strength *o*-cresol laden wastewater using an integrated catalytic wet air oxidation (with activated carbon as catalyst/biological treatment (activated sludge) process (the pre-treated wastewater made 30% of the effluent fed to the biological reactor).

Overall assessment

The evidence reported herein and the information provided in earlier reviews show that the efficiency of integrated treatment has been validated for a wide range of pollutants and process configurations, including at industrial scale and using real effluents. However, research in the area still overwhelmingly focuses on the use of advanced oxidation processes combined with aerobic biological treatment. This is rather surprising considering that the greatest advantages of integrated treatment could be found when chemical pre-treatment are combined with "sensitive" biological processes in a more holistic approach aiming not only to remove a pollutant, but also reduce aeration costs, conserve energy, minimize sludge production, or combine nutrient and carbon removal; in other words, integrated treatments have hitherto been considered for hazardous pollutant removal only, regardless of the other issues faced during wastewater treatment. For instance, Essam et al. (2006b, c; 2007b) showed that chemical detoxification of phenolics-laden wastewaters was critical to allow subsequent biological treatment using a consortium of algae and bacteria. This fully solar-powered integrated approach improves energy efficiency during detoxification due to photosynthetic aeration as well as nutrient removal due to nitrogen assimilation by microalgae (Muñoz and Guieysse 2006). There is also a lack of studies comparing the efficiency of various integrated treatments for the same application. Such comparisons would be interesting as the integration of certain processes can be more difficult and costly due the requirements of water conditioning prior to biological treatment (e.g., pH neutralization after photo-Fenton and Fenton treatments, cooling after wet oxidation). For example, Essam et al. (2007a) compared the efficiencies of various simulated solar UV, UV–H_2O_2, UV–TiO_2, and UV–TiO_2–H_2O_2 pre-treatments for chlor-

ophenol removal, and found that the type of chemical treatment used has a profound influence on the toxicity and biodegradability of the degradation products formed. Finally, there is a lack of chronic toxicity monitoring during integrated treatment. This is rather critical as various chemical treatments have been shown to produce such type of toxicants.

Conclusions

Improving the design and operation of biological treatment processes for monoaromatics in real-life applications presents many challenges, including working within the following constraints: substrate toxicity; mixed populations of organisms; the need for robust operation in the face of dynamic conditions of feed composition, concentration and environmental parameters such as temperature; low cost operation.

Single-substrate and single-organism studies are useful in characterizing process fundamentals such as kinetics, but do not fully meet all of the challenges required for practical implementation. Process designs that provide potential operational improvements such as higher biomass levels (i.e., biofilm reactors) or protection from adverse conditions (cell entrapment) are also useful contributions but do not completely resolve the fundamental limiting characteristic of monoaromatics, which is cytotoxicity. Based on our review, it appears that solid–liquid TPPBs and integrated physical/chemical systems, which can potentially address all of the above constraints, are the most promising approaches for the biological treatment of monoaromatics. In spite of their operational success, however, neither of these approaches has yet been applied commercially at full scale and a number of research opportunities still exist.

For example, in the case of TPPBs, the implementation of effective and low-cost materials with appropriate transport properties such as high diffusivity and the design of contacting shapes such as beads, plates and films within an industrial context (mechanically agitated, airlift, packed bed, sequencing batch, etc.) has not been fully explored. In the case of combined treatment strategies, the matching of several available physical treatments of a particular contaminant stream to a biological one, and the assessment of toxicities also require additional research to reduce treatment costs and risks. We would also argue that current research focuses almost exclusively on substrate removal without taking into account the entire spectrum of process considerations (e.g., nutrient removal, sludge disposal) and sustainability issues (e.g., energy efficiency, green house gases emissions) that must now increasingly be considered when determining best practice. Nevertheless, the performance of these approaches to date in biologically treating

recalcitrant compounds such as monoaromatics is exceedingly promising.

References

Acuña-Argüelles ME, Olguin-Lora P, Razo-Flores E (2003) Toxicity and kinetic parameters of the aerobic biodegradation of the phenol and alkylphenols by a mixed culture. Biotechnol Lett 25:559–564

Adav SS, Chen MY, Lee DJ, Ren NQ (2007) Degradation of Phenol by Aerobic Granules and Isolated Yeast *Candida tropicalis*. Biotechnol Bioeng 96:844–852

Adav SS, Lee DJ, Show KJ, Tay JH (2008) Aerobic granular sludge: recent advances. Biotechnol Adv 26:411–423

Ahamad PYA, Kunhi AAM (2011) Enhanced degradation of phenol by *Pseudomonas* sp. CP4 entrapped in agar and calcium alginate beads in batch and continuous processes. Biodegradation. doi:10.1007/s10532-010-9392-6

Aldric JM, Thonart P (2008) Performance evaluation of a water/silicone oil two-phase partitioning bioreactor using *Rhodococcus erythropolis* T902.1 to remove volatile organic compounds from gaseous effluents. J Chem Technol Biotechnol 83:1401–1408

Amsden BG, Lau A (2008) Siloxane based copolymers for use in two-phase partitioning bioreactors. Can J Chem Eng 86:1–5

Amsden BG, Bochanysz J, Daugulis AJ (2003) Degradation of xenobiotics in a partitioning bioreactor in which the partitioning phase is a polymer. Biotechnol Bioeng 84:399–405

Bajaj M, Gallert C, Winter J (2009) Treatment of phenolic wastewater in an anaerobic fixed bed reactor (AFBR)-recovery after shock loading. J Hazard Mater 162:1330–1339

Barton WE, Daugulis AJ (1992) Evaluation of solvents for extractive butanol fermentation with *Clostridium acetobutylicum* and the use of poly (propylene glycol) 1200. Appl Microbiol Biotechnol 36:632–639

Baumann MD, Daugulis AJ, Jessop PG (2005) Phosphonium ionic liquids for degradation of phenol in a two-phase partitioning bioreactor. Appl Microbiol Biotechnol 67:131–137.

Boyd EM, Meharg AA, Wright J, Killham K (1997) Assessment of toxicological interactions of benzene and its primary degradation products (cathecol and phenol) using a *lux* modified bacterial bioassay. Environ Toxicol Chem 16:849–856

Boudreau NG, Daugulis AJ (2006) Transient performance of two-phase partitioning bioreactors treating a toluene contaminated gas stream, Biotechnol Bioeng 94:448–457.

Bruce LJ, Daugulis AJ (1991) Solvent selection strategies for extractive biocatalysis. Biotechnol Progr 7:116–124

Carbajo JB, Boltes K, Leton P (2010) Treatment of phenol in an anaerobic fluidized bed reactor (AFBR): continuous and batch regime. Biodegradation 21:603–613

Carucci A, Milia S, Cappai G, Muntoni A (2010) A direct comparison amongst different technologies (aerobic granular sludge, SBR and MBR) for the treatment of wastewater contaminated by 4-chlorophenol. J Hazard Mater 177:1119–1125

Chang JC, Taylor PB, Leach FR (1981) Use of the Microtox® Assay System for Environmental Samples. Bull Environm Contam Toxicol 26:150–156

Darracq G, Couvert A, Couriol C, Amrane A, Le Cloirec P (2010) Kinetics of toluene and sulfur compounds removal by means of an integrated process involving the coupling of absorption and biodegradation. J Chem Technol Biotechnol 85:1156–1161

Daugulis AJ, Boudreau NG (2008) Solid–liquid two phase partitioning bioreactors for the treatment of gas-phase volatile organic carbons by a microbial consortium. Biotechnol Lett 30:1583–1587

Daugulis AJ Collins DL. (2001) Process for biodegradation of a xenobiotic, US Patent 6,284,523.

Edalatmanesh M, Mehrvar M, Dhib R (2008) Optimization of phenol degradation in a combined photochemical-biological wastewater treatment system. Chem Eng Res Des 86:1243–1252

El-Naas MH, Al-Muhtaseb SA, Makhlouf S (2009) Biodegradation of phenol by *Pseudomonas putida* immobilized in polyvinyl alcohol (PVA) gel. J Hazard Mater 164:720–725

El-Naas MH, Al-Zuhair S, Makhlouf S (2010) Continuous biodegradation of phenol in a spouted bed bioreactor (SBBR). Chem Eng J 160:565–570

Erol Nalbur B, Alkan U (2007) The inhibitory effects of 2-CP and 2,4-DCP containing effluents on sequencing batch reactors. Int Biodeterior Biodegrad 60:178–188

Esplugas S, Ollis DF (1997) Economic aspects of integrated (chemical & biological) processes for water treatment. J Adv Oxid Technol 2:197–202

Essam T, Zilouei H, Amin MA, El Tayeb O, Mattiasson B, Guieysse B (2006a) Sequential UV–biological degradation of chlorophenols. Chemosphere 63:277–284

Essam T, Amin MA, El Tayeb O, Mattiasson B, Guieysse B (2006b) Biological treatment of industrial wastes in a photobioreactor. Wat Sci Technol 53:117–125

Essam T (2006c) Solar-based physicochemical–biological processes for the treatment of toxic and recalcitrant effluents. PhD Thesis, Lund University, Sweden, ISBN 91-89627-49-0.

Essam T, Amin MA, El Tayeb O, Mattiasson B, Guieysse B (2007a) Sequential photochemical—biological degradation of chlorophenols. Chemosphere 66:2201–2209

Essam T, Amin MA, El Tayeb O, Mattiasson B, Guieysse B (2007b) Solar-based detoxification of phenol and p-nitrophenol by sequential TiO₂ photocatalysis and photosyntetically aerated biological treatment. Wat Res 41:1697–1704

Essam T, Amin MA, El Tayeb O, Mattiasson B, Guieysse B (2010) Kinetics and metabolic versatility of highly tolerant phenol degrading *Alcaligenes* strain TW1. J Hazard Mater 173:783–788

Farhadian M, Duchez D, Vachelard C, Larroche C (2008) Monoaromatics removal from polluted water through bioreactors-A review. Water Res 42:1325–1341

Farhadian M, Duchez D, Gaudet G, Larroche C (2010) Biodegradation of toluene at high initial concentration in an organic–aqueous phase bioprocess with nitrate respiration. Proc Biochem 45:1758–1762

Fazaelipoor MH (2007) A model for treating air streams in a continuous two liquid phase stirred tank bioreactor. J Hazard Mater 148:453–458

Feng W, Wen J, Liu C, Yuan Q, Jia X, Sun Y (2007) Modeling of local dynamic behavior of phenol degradation in an internal loop airlift bioreactor by Yeast *Candida tropicalis*. Biotechnol Bioeng 97:251–264

Freeman A, Woodley JM, Lilly MD (1993) In situ product removal as a tool for bioprocessing. Bio/Technol 11:1007–1012

Galíndez-Mayer J, Ramón-Gallegos J, Ruiz-Ordaz N, Juárez-Ramírez C, Salmerón-Alcocer A, Poggi-Varaldo HM (2008) Phenol and 4-chlorophenol biodegradation by yeast *Candida tropicalis* in a fluidized bed reactor. Biochem Eng J 38:147–157

Gao F, Daugulis AJ (2009) Bioproduction of the aroma compound 2-phenylethanol in a solid-liquid two-phase partitioning bioreactor system by *Kluyveromyces marxianus*. Biotechnol Bioeng 104:332–339

Gaudy AF, Lowe W, Rozich A, Colvin R (1988) Practical methodology for predicting critical operating range of biological systems treating inhibitory substrates. JWPCF 60:77–85

Goi A, Trapido M, Tuhkanen T (2004) A study of toxicity, biodegradability, and some by-products of ozonised nitrophenols. Adv Environ Res 8:304–311

Gómez-De Jesús A, Romano-Baez FJ, Leyva-Amezcua L, Juárez-Ramírez C, Ruiz-Ordaz N, Galíndez-Mayer J (2009) Biodegradation of 2,4,6-trichlorophenol in a packed-bed biofilm reactor equipped with an internal net draft tube riser for aeration and liquid circulation. J Hazard Mater 161:1140–1149

Hamed TA, Bayraktar E, Mehmetoglu U, Mehmetoglu T (2004) The biodegradation of benzene, toluene and phenol in a two-phase system. Biochem Eng J 19:137–146

He Z, Zhou L, Lia G, Zenga X, Ana T, Shenga G, Fua J, Ba Z (2009) Comparative study of the eliminating of waste gas containing toluene in twin biotrickling filters packed with molecular sieve and polyurethane foam. J Hazerd Mater 167:175–281

Heipieper HJ, Neumann G, Cornelissen S, Meinhardt F (2007) Solvent-tolerant bacteria for biotransformations in two-phase fermentation systems. Appl Microbiol Biotechnol 74:961–973

Hernandez M, Quijano G, Thalasso F, Daugulis AJ, Villaverde S, Munoz R (2010) A comparative study of solid and liquid non-aqueous phases for the biodegradation of hexane in two-phase partitioning bioreactors. Biotechnol Bioeng 106:731–740

Ho KL, Chen YY, Lin B, Lee DJ (2010) Degrading high-strength phenol using aerobic granular sludge. Appl Microbiol Biotechnol 85:2009–2015

Isaza PA, Daugulis AJ (2010) Enhanced degradation of phenanthrene in a solid-liquid two-phase partitioning bioreactor via sonication. Biotechnol Bioeng 105:997–1001

Inoue A, Horikoshi K (1989) A Pseudomonas thrives in high concentrations of toluene. Nature 338:264–266

Isken S, de Bont JAM (1998) Bacteria tolerant to organic solvents. Extremophiles 2:229–238

Jiang Y, Wen J, Lan L, Hu Z (2007) Biodegradation of phenol and 4-chlorophenol by the yeast Candida tropicalis. Biodegradation 18:719–729

Jones OAH, Green PG, Voulvoulis N, Lester JN (2007) Questioning the excessive use of advanced treatment to remove organic micropollutants from wastewater. Environ Sci Technol 41:5085–5089

Juang RS, Chung TP, Wang ML, Lee DJ (2008) Experimental observations on the effect of added dispersing agent on phenol biodegradation in a microporous membrane bioreactor. J Hazard Mater 151:746–752

Juang RS, Kao HC (2009) Estimation of the contribution of immobilized biofilm and suspended biomass to the biodegradation of phenol in membrane contactors. Biochem Eng J 43:122–128

Juang RS, Wu CY (2007) Microbial degradation of phenol in high-salinity solutions in suspensions and hollow fiber membrane contactors. Chemosphere 66:191–198

Juang R-S, Kao H-S, Tseng K-J (2010) Kinetics of phenol removal from saline solutions by solvent extraction coupled with degradation in a two-phase partitioning bioreactor. Separ Purif Technol 71:285–292

Juang RS, Tseng KJ (2010) Experimental investigation of bio-removal of toxic organic pollutants from highly saline solutions in a triphasic system. J Hazard Mater 178:706–712

Kar S, Swaminathan T, Baradarajan A (1997) Biodegradation of phenol and cresol isomer mixtures by Arthrobacter. World Microb Biot 13:659–663

Kharoune L, Kharoune M, Lebeault JM (2002) Aerobic degradation of 2,4,6-trichlorophenol by a microbial consortium—selection and characterization of microbial consortium. Appl Microbiol Biotechnol 59:112–117

Khoufi S, Aloui, F, Sayadi, S (2006) Treatment of olive oil mill wastewater by combined process electro-Fenton reaction and anaerobic digestion. Water Res 40:2007–2016

Kim DJ, Choi JW, Choi NC, Mahendran B, Lee CE (2005) Modeling of growth kinetics for Pseudomonas spp. during benzene degradation. Appl Microbiol Biotechnol 69:456–462

Kollerup F, Daugulis AJ (1985) A mathematical model for ethanol production by extractive fermentation in a continuous stirred tank fermentor. Biotechnol Bioeng 27:1335–1346

Kwon KH, Jung KY, Yeom SH (2009) Comparison between entrapment methods for phenol removal and operation of bioreactor packed with co-entrapped activated carbon and Pseudomonas fluorescence KNU417. Bioprocess Biosyst Eng 32:249–256

Lee DJ, Ho KL, Chen YY (2011) Degradation of cresols by phenol-acclimated aerobic granules. Appl Microbiol Biotechnol 89:209–215

Li Y, Loh KC (2007) Continuous phenol biodegradation at high concentrations in an immobilized-cell hollow fiber membrane bioreactor. J App Polym Sci 105:1732–1739

Li Y, Wang C (2008) Phenol biodegradation in hybrid hollow-fiber membrane bioreactors. World J Microbiol Biotechnol 24:1843–1849

Littlejohns JV, Daugulis AJ (2007) Oxygen transfer in a gas–liquid system containing solids of varying oxygen affinity. Chem Eng J 129:67–74

Littlejohns JV, Daugulis AJ (2008a) Kinetics and interactions of BTEX compounds during degradation by a bacterial consortium. Process Biochem 43:1068–1076

Littlejohns JV, Daugulis AJ (2008b) Response of a solid-liquid two-phase partitioning bioreactor to transient BTEX loadings. Chemosphere 73:1453–1460

Littlejohns JV, Daugulis AJ (2009) A two-phase partitioning airlift bioreactor for the treatment of BTEX contaminated gases. Biotechnol Bioeng 103:1077–1086

Littlejohns JV, McAuley KB, Daugulis AJ (2010) Model for a solid-liquid stirred tank two-phase partitioning bioscrubber for the treatment of BTEX. J Hazard Mater 175:872–882

Loonen H, Lindgren F, Hansen B, Karcher W, Niemelä J, Hiromatsu K, Takatsuki M, Peijnenburg W, Rorije E, Struijs J (1999) Prediction of biodegradability from chemical structure: modeling of ready biodegradation test data. Environ Toxicol Chem 18:1763–1768

MacLeod CT, Daugulis AJ (2005) Interfacial effects in a two-phase partitioning bioreactor: Degradation of polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons (PAHs) by a hydrophobic Mycobacterium. Process Biochem 40:1799–1805

Mahanty B, Pakshirajan K, Dasu VV (2010) A two liquid phase partitioning bioreactor system for the biodegradation of pyrene: comparative evaluation and cost–benefit analysis. J Chem Technol Biotechnol 85:349–355

Malato S, Blanco J, Maldonado MI, Oller I, Gernjak W, Pérez-Estrada L (2007) Coupling solar photo-Fenton and biotreatment at industrial scale: Main results of a demonstration plant. J Hard Mat 146:440–446

Maliyekkal SM, Rene ER, Philip L, Swaminathan T (2004) Performance of BTX degraders under substrate versatility conditions. J Hazard Mater B109:201–211

Mantzavinos D, Psillakis E (2004) Enhancement of biodegradability of industrial wastewaters by chemical oxidation pre-treatment. J Chem Technol Biotechnol 79:431–454

Marques MPC, de Carvalho CCCR, Cabral JMS, Fernandes P (2010) Scaling-up of complex whole-cell bioconversions in conventional and non-conventional media. Biotechnol Bioeng 106:619–626

Marrot B, Barrios-Martinez A, Moulinz P, Roche N (2008) Biodegradation of high phenol concentration in a membrane bioreactor. Int J Chem React Eng 6(A8):1–12

Mehrvar M, Tabrizi GB (2006) Combined photochemical and biological processes for the treatment of linear alkylbenzene sulfonate in water. J Environ Sci Health A 41:581–597

Mendoza-Marín C, Osorio P, Benítez N (2010) Decontamination of industrial wastewater from sugarcane crops by combining solar photo-Fenton and biological treatments. J Hazard Mater 177:851–855

Monsalvo VM, Mohedano AF, Casas JA, Rodríguez JJ (2009) Cometabolic biodegradation of 4-chlorophenol by sequencing batch reactors at different temperatures. Bioresour Technol 100:4572–4578

Montes M, Daugulis AJ, Veiga MC, Kennes C (2011) Characterization of absorbent polymers for the removal of volatile hydrophobic pollutants from air. J Chem Technol Biotechnol 86:47–53

Morrish JLE, Daugulis AJ (2008) Improved reactor performance and operability in the biotransformation of carveol to carvone using a solid-liquid two phase partitioning bioreactor. Biotechnol Bioeng 101:946–956

Moussavi G, Heidarizad M (2010) Biodegradation of mixture of phenol and formaldehyde in wastewater using a single-basin MSCR process. J Biotechnol. doi:10.1016/j.jbiotec.2010.08.012

Moussavi G, Mahmoudi M, Barikbin B (2009) Biological removal of phenol from strong wastewaters using a novel MSBR. Water Res 43:1295–1302

Muñoz R, Guieysse B (2006) Algal-bacterial processes for the treatment of hazardous contaminants: a review. Water Res 40:2799–2815

Munoz R, Chambaud M, Bordel S, Villaverde S (2008) A systematic selection of the non-aqueous phase in a bacterial two liquid phase bioreactor treating α-pinene. Appl Microbiol Biotechnol 79:33–41

Nielsen DR, Amarasiriwardena GS, Prather KLJ (2010) Predicting the adsorption of second generation biofuels by polymeric resins with applications for in situ product recovery (ISPR). Biores Techn 101:2762–2769

Nielsen DR, Daugulis AJ, McLellan PJ (2003) A novel method of simulating oxygen mass transfer in two-phase partitioning bioreactors. Biotechnol Bioeng 83:735–742

Nielsen DR, Daugulis AJ, McLellan PJ (2005) A restructured framework for modeling oxygen transfer in two-phase partitioning bioreactors. Biotechnol Bioeng 91:773–777

Nielsen DR, Daugulis AJ, McLellan PJ (2007) Dynamic simulation of benzene vapor treatment by a two-phase partitioning bioscrubber. Part II: Model calibration, validation, and predictions. Biochem Eng J 36:250–261

Neumann G, Kabelitz N, Zehnsdorf A, Miltner A, Lippold H, Meyer D, Schmid A, Heipieper HJ (2005) Prediction of the adaptability of Pseudomonas putida DOT-T1E to a second phase of a solvent for economically sound two-Phase biotransformations. App Environ Microbiol 71:6606–6612

Olmez-Hanci T, Dalmaz B, Arslan-Alaton I, Kabdash I, Tünay O (2010) Kinetic modeling and toxicity assessment of diethyl phthalate treated by H₂O₂/UV-C process. Ozone Sci Eng 32:238–243

Onysko KA, Budman HM, Robinson CW (2000) Effect of temperature on the inhibition kinetics of phenol biodegradation by Pseudomonas putida Q5. Biotechnol Bioeng 70:291–299

Parvez S, Venkataraman C, Mukherji S (2008) Toxicity assessment of organic pollutants: reliability of bioluminescence inhibition assay and univariate QSAR models using freshly prepared Vibrio fischeri. Toxicol in Vitro 22:1806–1813

Prpich GP, Adams RL, Daugulis AJ (2006) Ex situ bioremediation of phenol contaminated soil using polymer beads. Biotechnol Lett 24:2027–2031

Prpich GP, Rehmann L, Daugulis AJ (2008) On the use, and re-use, of polymers for the treatment of hydrocarbon contaminated water via a solid-liquid partitioning bioreactor. Biotechnol Prog 24:839–844

Quijano G, Hernandez M, Thalasso F, Muñoz R, Villaverde S (2009a) Two-phase partitioning bioreactors in environmental biotechnology. Appl Microbiol Biotechnol 84:829–846

Quijano G, Revah S, Gutierrez-Rojas M, Flores-Cotera LB, Thalasso F (2009b) Oxygen transfer in three-phase airlift and stirred tank reactors using silicone oil as transfer vector. Process Biochem 44:619–624

Quijano G, Ordaz A, Munoz R, Thalasso F (2010a) New insights on O2 uptake mechanisms in two-phase partitioning bioreactors. Biotechnol Lett 32:223–228

Quijano G, Rocha-Ríos J, Hernández M, Villaverde S, Revah S, Munoz R, Thalasso F (2010b) Determining the effect of solid and liquid vectors on the gaseous interfacial area and oxygen transfer rates in two-phase partitioning bioreactors. J Hazard Mater 175:1085–1089

Quijano G, Hernandez M, Villaverde S, Thalasso F, Muñoz R (2010c) A step-forward in the characterization and potential applications of solid and liquid oxygen transfer vectors. Appl Microbiol Biotechnol 85:543–551

Quintelas C, Silva B, Figueiredo H, Tavares T (2010) Removal of organic compounds by a biofilm supported on GAC: modelling of batch and column data. Biodegradation 21:379–392

Ramos JL, Duque E, Gallegos MT, Godoy P, Ramos-Gonzalez MI, Rojas M, Teran W, Segura A (2002) Mechanisms of solvent tolerance in gram-negative bacteria. Annu Rev Microbiol 56:743–68

Rehmann L, Sun B, Daugulis AJ (2007) Polymer selection for biphenyl degradation in a solid-liquid two-phase partitioning bioreactor. Biotechnol Prog 23:814–819

Rehmann L, Prpich GP, Daugulis AJ (2008a) Bioremediation of PAH contaminated soils: Application of a solid-liquid two-phase partitioning bioreactor. Chemosphere 73:798–804

Rehmann L, Daugulis AJ (2008b) Bioavailability of PCBs in biphasic bioreactors. Biochem Eng J 38:219–225

Rehmann R, Daugulis AJ (2008c) Biodegradation of PCBs in two-phase partitioning bioreactors following solid extraction from soil. Biotechnol Bioeng 99:1273–1280

Rehmann L, Daugulis AJ (2008d) Biodegradation of biphenyl in a solid-liquid two-phase partitioning bioreactor. Biochem Eng J 36:195–201

de Nardi RI, Zaiat M, Foresti E (2007) Kinetics of BTEX degradation in a packed-bed anaerobic reactor. Biodegradation 18:83–90

Ribeiro Gusmão V, Chinalia FA, Kimiko Sakamoto I, Amâncio Varesche MB (2007) Performance of a reactor containing denitrifying immobilized biomass in removing ethanol and aromatic hydrocarbons (BTEX) in a short operating period. J Hazard Mater 139:301–309

Ribo JM, Kaiser KLE (1983) Effects of selected chemicals to photoluminescent bacteria and their correlations with acute and sublethal effects on other organisms. Chemosphere 12:1421–1442

Sahinkaya E, Dilek FB (2007) Effect of feeding time on the performance of a sequencing batch reactor treating a mixture of 4-CP and 2,4-DCP. J Enviro Manage 83:427–436

Salles NA, Fourcade F, Geneste F, Floner D, Amrane A (2010) Relevance of an electrochemical process prior to a biological treatment for the removal of an organophosphorous pesticide, phosmet. J Hazad Mat 181:617–623

Santos A, Yustos P, Rodriguez S, Garcia-Ochoa F (2006) Wet oxidation of phenol, cresols and nitrophenols catalyzed by activated carbon in acid and basic media. Appl Catal B-Environ 65:269–281

Saravanan P, Pakshirajan K, Saha P (2008) Biodegradation of phenol and m-cresol in a batch and fed batch operated internal loop airlift bioreactor by indigenous mixed microbial culture predominantly Pseudomonas sp. Bioresour Technol 99:8553–8558

Saravanan P, Pakshirajan K, Saha P (2009) Treatment of phenolics containing synthetic wastewater in an internal loop airlift bioreactor (ILALR) using indigenous mixed strain of *Pseudomonas* sp. under continuous mode of operation. Bioresour Technol 100:4111–4116

Sarma SJ, Pakshirajan K, Mahanty B (2010) Chitosan-coated alginate–polyvinyl alcohol beads for encapsulation of silicone oil containing pyrene: a novel method for biodegradation of polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbon. J Chem Technol Biotechnol 86:266–272

Sarria V, Parra S, Adler N, Péringer P, Pulgarin C (2002) Recent developments in the coupling of photoassisted and aerobic biological processes for the treatment of biorecalcitrant compounds. Catal Today 76:301–315

Scott JP, Ollis DF (1995) Integration of chemical and biological oxidation processes for water treatment: review and recommendations. Environ Prog 14:88–103

Scott JP, Ollis DF (1996) Engineering models of combined chemical and biological processes. J Environ Eng 122:110–114

Scott JP, Ollis DF (1997) Integration of chemical and biological oxidation processes for water treatment: ii. recent illustrations and experiences. J Adv Oxid Technol 2:374–381

Sevillano X, Isasi JR, Peñãs FJ (2008) Feasibility study of degradation of phenol in a fluidized bed bioreactor with a cyclodextrin polymer as biofilm carrier. Biodegradation 19:589–597

Shao J, Huang LL, Yang YM (2009) Immobilization of polyphenol oxidase on alginate–SiO$_2$ hybrid gel: stability and preliminary applications in the removal of aqueous phenol. J Chem Technol Biotechnol 84:633–635

Shetty KV, Kalifathulla I, Srinikethan G (2007a) Performance of pulsed plate bioreactor for biodegradation of phenol. J Hazard Mater 140:346–352

Shetty KV, Ramanjaneyulu R, Srinikethan G (2007b) Biological phenol removal using immobilized cells in a pulsed plate bioreactor: Effect of dilution rate and influent phenol concentration. J Hazard Mater 149:452–459

Shetty KV, Verma DK, Srinikethan G (2011) Modelling and simulation of steady-state phenol degradation in a pulsed plate bioreactor with immobilised cells of *Nocardia hydrocarbonoxydans*. Bioprocess Biosyst Eng 34:45–56

Sikkema J, de Bont JAM, Poolmann B (1994) Interactions of cyclic hydrocarbons with biological membranes 269: 8022–8028.

Sikkema J, de Bont JAM, Poolman B (1995) Mechanisms of membrane toxicity of hydrocarbons. Microbiol Rev 59:201–222

Singh D, Fulekar MH (2010) Benzene bioremediation using cow dung microflora in two phase partitioning bioreactor. J Hazard Mater 175:336–343

Smets BF, Barkay T (2005) Horizontal gene transfer: perspectives at a crossroads of scientific disciplines. Nat Rev Microbiol 3:675–678

Somasundaram L, Coats JR, Racke KD, Stahr HM (1990) Application of the Microtox system to assess the toxicity of pesticides and their hydrolysis metabolites. Bull Environ Contam Toxicol 44:254–259

Springael D, Top EM (2004) Horizontal gene transfer and microbial adaptation to xenobiotics: new types of mobile genetic elements and lessons from ecological studies. Trends Microbiol 12:53–58

Suarez-Ojeda ME, Guisasola A, Baeza JA, Fabregat A, Stuber F, Fortuny A, Font J, Carrera J (2007) Integrated catalytic wet air oxidation and aerobic biological treatment in a municipal WWTP of a high-strength o-cresol wastewater. Chemosphere 66:2096–2105

Tabrizi GB, Mehrvar M (2004) Integration of advanced oxidation technologies and biological processes: Recent developments, trends, and advances. J Environ Sci Health A 39:3029–3081

Tomei MC, Annesini MC (2008) Biodegradation of phenolic mixtures in a sequencing batch reactor: a kinetic study. Env Sci Pollut Res 15:188–195

Tomei MC, Annesini MC, Rita S, Daugulis AJ (2008) Biodegradation of 4-nitrophenol in a two-phase sequencing batch reactor: concept demonstration, kinetics and modeling. Appl Microbiol Biotechnol 80:1105–1112

Tomei MC, Annesini MC, Prpich GP, Daugulis AJ (2009) Biodegradation of 4-nitrophenol in a two phase system operating with polymers as the partitioning phase. Env Sci Technol 43:7105–7110

Tomei MC, Annesini MC, Rita S, Daugulis AJ (2010) Two phase partitioning bioreactors operating with polymers applied to the removal of substituted phenols. Env Sci Technol 44:7254–7259

Top EM, Springael D (2003) The role of mobile genetic elements in bacterial adaptation to xenobiotic organic compounds. Current Opin Biotechnol 14:262–269

Torres-Martínez D, Melgarejo-Torres R, Gutiérrez-Rojas M, Aguilera-Vázquez L, Micheletti M, Lye GJ, Huerta-Ocho S (2010) Hydrodynamic and oxygen mass transfer studies in a three-phase (air–water–ionic liquid) stirred tank bioreactor. Biochem Eng J 45:209–217

Tziotzios G, Economoua ChN, Lyberatos G, Vayenasa DV (2007) Effect of the specific surface area and operating mode on biological phenol removal using packed bed reactors. Desalination 211:128–137

Varma RJ, Gaikwad BG (2010) Continuous phenol biodegradation in a simple packed bed bioreactor of calcium alginate-immobilized *Candida tropicalis* (NCIM 3556). World J Microbiol Biotechnol 26:805–809

Veiga MC, Fraga M, Amor L, Kennes C (1999) Biofilter performance and characterization of a biocatalyst degrading alkylbenzene gases. Biodegradation 10:169–176

Venkata Mohan S, Chandrashekara Rao N, Krishna Prasad K, Madhavi BTV, Sharma PN (2005) Treatment of complex chemical wastewater in a sequencing batch reactor (SBR) with an aerobic suspended growth configuration. Process Biochem 40:1501–1508

Vilar VJP, Capelo SMS, Silva TFCV, Boaventura RAR (2011) Solar Photo-Fenton as a pre-oxidation step for biological treatment of landfill leachate in a pilot plant with CPCs. Catal Today. doi:10.1016/j.cattod.2010.08.025

Walker JD (1989) Effects of chemicals on microorganisms. J Water Pollut Control Fed 61:1077–1097

Wang C, Li Y (2007) Incorporation of granular activated carbon in an immobilized membrane bioreactor for the biodegradation of phenol by *Pseudomonas putida*. Biotechnol Lett 29:1353–1356

Wang J, Yang H, Lu H, Zhou J, Wang J, Zheng C (2009) Aerobic biodegradation of nitrobenzene by a defined microbial consortium immobilized in polyurethane foam. World J Microbiol Biotechnol 25:875–881

Wang SG, Liu XW, Zhang HY, Gong WX, Sun XF, Gao BY (2007) Aerobic granulation for 2,4-dichlorophenol biodegradation in a sequencing batch reactor. Chemosphere 69:769–775

Wang Z, Xu J-H, Chen D (2008) Whole cell microbial transformation in cloud point system. J Ind Microbiol Biotechnol 35:645–656

Weber FJ, de Bont JAM (1996) Adaptation mechanisms of microorganisms to the toxic effects of organic solvents on membranes. Biochim Biophys Acta 1286:225–245

Wyss A, Boucher J, Montero A, Marison I (2006) Micro-encapsulated organic phase for enhanced bioremediation of hydrophobic organic pollutants. Enz Microb Technol 40:25–31

Yeom SH, Daugulis AJ, Lee SH (2010a) Bioremediation of phenol-contaminated water and soil using magnetic polymer beads. Process Biochem 45:1582–1586

Yeom SH, Daugulis AJ, Nielsen DR (2010b) A strategic approach for the design and operation of two-phase partitioning bioscrubbers for the treatment of volatile organic compounds. Biotechnol Progr 26:177–1786

Yeom SH, Daugulis AJ, Nielsen DR (2010c) Estimating the cellular maintenance coefficient and its use in the design of two-phase partitioning bioscrubbers. Bioproc Biosys Eng 33:731–739

Yordanova G, Ivanova D, Godjevargova T, Krastanov A (2009) Biodegradation of phenol by immobilized *A. awamori* NRRL 3112 on modified polyacrylonitrile membrane. Biodegradation 20:717–726

Zapata A, Malato S, Sánchez-Pérez JA, Oller I, Maldonado MI (2010a) Scale-up strategy for a combined solar photo-Fenton/biological system for remediation of pesticide-contaminated water. Catal Today 151:100–106

Zapata A, Oller I, Sirtori C, Rodríguez A, Sánchez-Pérez JA, López A, Mezcua M, Malato S (2010b) Decontamination of industrial wastewater containing pesticides by combining large-scale homogeneous solar photocatalysis and biological treatment. Chem Eng J 160:447–456

Zhao G, Li Y, Liu X, Liu X (2010a) Preparation of capsules containing 1-nonanol for rapidly removing high concentration phenol from aqueous solution. J Hazard Mater 175:715–725

Zhao G, Zhou L, Li L, LiuX RX, Liu X (2010b) Enhancement of phenol degradation using immobilized microorganisms and organic modified montmorillonite in a two-phase partitioning bioreactor. J Hazard Mater 169:402–410

Zhao YH, He YB, Wang LS (1995) Predicting toxicities of substituted aromatic hydrocarbons to fish by toxicities to *Dahpnia magna* or *Photobacterium phosphoreum*. Toxicol Environ Chem 51:191–195

Zhao Z, Jiang G, Jiang S, Ding F (2009) Integrated anaerobic/aerobic biodegradation in an internal airlift loop reactor for phenol wastewater treatment. Korean J Chem Eng 26:1662–1667

Zilouei H, Guieysse B, Mattiasson B (2008) Two-phase partitioning bioreactor for the biodegradation of high concentrations of pentachlorophenol using *Sphingobium chlorophenolicum* DSM 8671. Chemosphere 72:1788–1794